IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2810 OF 2006

Kamlesh Parmanand Parmavat. ..Applicant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Mr. R.B. Upadhyay, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr.Y.S.Shinde,APP. for the Respondent.

CORAM : R.S. MOHITE,J.
DATED : 09/8/2006.

P.C.

1. This is an application for grant of bail. The applicant is shown as an accused in C.R.No.233/2005 registered by J.J. Marg Police Station for alleged offences under Section 395, 397, 120B of the I.P.C. and under Section 3 and 25 of the Arms Act. The aforesaid C.R. is now transferred to C.I.B. Unit 1, C.I.D. Mumbai under C.R.No.128/2005. On perusal of the record, it is clear that the present applicant is one of the conspirators alongwith some other accused. On the basis of the information received from co accused-employee of the Courier Company, they are alleged to have robbed Rs.13/- lacs in cash. An amount Rs.90,000/- has been recovered in this crime. The police have recorded the statement of witness by name Pravin who talks about the hatching of the conspiracy. There are two C.R.s pending against the applicant being C.R.No.251/2001 registered

by V.P. Road Police Station under Section 420 read with 34 of the I.P.C. where he had alleged to have cheated the businessman and another C.R.No.90/2004 registered by L.T. Marg Police Station for alleged offences under Section 465, 467, 468, 471, 420 read with 34 of the I.P.C. for forgery and cheating. There are also other two C.R.s registered by Oshiwara Police Station and Dahisar Police Station against the applicant. However, in one of them he has been acquitted and in other C.R. proceedings under Section-169 of the Cr.P.C. have been taken. On perusal of the record, it appears that the applicant is a habitual offender and there is material against him. This is a serious offence. I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Hence, application is rejected.

(R. S. MOHITE, J.)

:3:

e